

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/312,073	USUI ET AL.			
Examin r	Art Unit			
Michael P Nghiem	2863			

·	Examin r	Art Unit	
_	Michael P Nghiem	2863	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		-
(1) <u>Michael P Nghiem</u> .	(3)		·* '\$.
(2) <u>David Schaeffer</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 03 December 2003.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>15,58 and 97</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Miyazawa et al. (US 6,	<u>250,750)</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. ﴿	g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The formal matters regal Amending claims 15, 58, and 97 to recite " said recess of" would overcome Miyazawa. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse second	ding the specification and drawdoes not communicate with an another with an amendments which the examiner agroup of the amendments that will be last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	wings were discuinterior of the infiniterior o	ussed. A cartridge er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS UMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required